



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/647,380	08/26/2003	Naoyuki Katou	2003_1144A	2043

513 7590 01/26/2007
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.
2033 K STREET N. W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1021

EXAMINER

KURR, JASON RICHARD

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2615

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/26/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/647,380

Applicant(s)

KATOU ET AL.

Examiner

Jason R. Kurr

Art Unit

2615

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 August 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/26/03.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 8, 10 12-15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Aarts et al (US 6,111,960).

With respect to claim 1, Aarts discloses an audio-signal-processing apparatus comprising: a band-decomposition unit (fig.9 #20A-20N), having a decomposition characteristic, operable to decompose a low frequency component of input-audio-signals into a plurality of frequency components that have different frequency bands based on the decomposition characteristic (col.9 ln.15-19); a harmonic-series-generating unit (fig.9 #23A-23N) operable to generate a harmonic-tone component based on at least one of the plurality of frequency components (col.9 ln.11-12); and a composition unit (fig.9 #26) operable to compound the input-audio-signals and the harmonic-tone component generated by said harmonic-series-generating unit.

With respect to claim 2, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein said band-decomposition unit is operable to decompose the low frequency component of each of a fundamental tone and harmonic-tones of the fundamental tone such that each belongs to a different frequency band. The band-

Art Unit: 2615

decomposition unit of Aarts functions to decompose the input signal into frequency bands of small bandwidths (ex. 10Hz, see col.9 ln.15-19), hence if the fundamental and harmonic tones of an input signal differ by at least 10Hz, then the band-decomposition unit is operable to separate these tones into different frequency bands.

With respect to claim 3, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein the decomposition characteristic is defined based on a lowest fundamental frequency of musical instruments. The audible frequency range of the human ear is roughly 20Hz-20kHz, therefor the lowest perceivable fundamental frequency of a musical instrument would be around 20Hz. Aarts discloses that the lowest frequency band-pass filter (fig.9 #20A) passes signals at a low frequency corner of 20Hz; hence the decomposition characteristic of the band-pass filter is defined by the lowest fundamental frequency of a musical instrument perceivable to the human ear.

With respect to claim 4, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein the decomposition characteristic is defined based on a low interval limit (col.9 ln.15-19).

With respect to claim 8, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, wherein said band-decomposition unit comprises a band-pass filter (fig.9 #20A) having a low cut-off frequency lower than a lowest fundamental frequency of musical instruments (col.9 ln.15-19).

With respect to claim 10, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a gain control device (fig.9 #23A-N, fig.4 #34) operable to

Art Unit: 2615

adjust a gain of the input-audio-signals and a gain of the harmonic-tone component generated by said harmonic-series-generating unit (col.9 ln.11-15).

With respect to claim 12, Aarts discloses an audio-signal-processing method comprising: decomposing a low frequency component of input-audio-signals into a plurality of frequency components that have different frequency bands based on a decomposition characteristic (fig.9 #20A-N, col.9 ln.15-19); generating a harmonic-tone component based on at least one of the plurality of frequency components (fig.9 #23A-23N, col.9 ln.11-12); and compounding the input-audio-signals and the generated harmonic-tone component (fig.9 #26).

With respect to claim 13, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, wherein said decomposing of the low frequency component of the input-audio-signals into the plurality of frequency components that have the different frequency bands based on the decomposition characteristic is such that each of a fundamental tone and harmonic-tones of the fundamental tone belongs to a different frequency band. The band-decomposition unit (fig.9 #20A-20N) of Aarts functions to decompose the input signal into frequency bands of small bandwidths (ex.10Hz, see col.9 ln.15-19), hence if the fundamental and harmonic tones of an input signal differ by at least 10Hz, then the band-decomposition unit would separate these tones into different frequency bands.

With respect to claim 14, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, wherein the decomposition characteristic is defined based on a lowest fundamental frequency of musical instruments. The audible frequency range of the

Art Unit: 2615

human ear is roughly 20Hz-20kHz, therefor the lowest perceivable fundamental frequency of a musical instrument would be around 20Hz. Aarts discloses that the lowest frequency band-pass filter (fig.9 #20A) passes signals at a low frequency corner of 20Hz; hence the decomposition characteristic of the band-pass filter is defined by the lowest fundamental frequency of a musical instrument perceivable to the human ear.

With respect to claim 15, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, wherein the decomposition characteristic is defined based on a low interval limit (col.9 ln.15-19).

With respect to claim 20, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, further comprising adjusting a gain (fig.9 #23A-N, fig.4 #34) of the input-audio-signals and a gain of the generated harmonic-tone component (col.9 ln.11-15).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klayman (US 6,285,767 B1).

With respect to claim 11, Klayman discloses an audio-signal-processing apparatus comprising: a sum component output unit (fig.8 #806) operable to receive input-audio-signals of a first channel (fig.8 #802) and input-audio-signals of a second channel (fig.8 #804) and output a sum component of the input-audio-signals of the first channel and the input-audio-signals of the second channel; a band-decomposition unit (fig.8 #812-815), having a decomposition characteristic, operable to decompose the sum component into a plurality of frequency components that have different frequency bands based on the decomposition characteristic; a harmonic-series-generating unit

Art Unit: 2615

(fig.8 #810) operable to generate a harmonic-tone component based on at least one of the plurality of frequency components (col.14 ln.37-50); a first composition unit (fig.8 #824) operable to compound the input-audio-signals of the first channel and the harmonic-tone component generated by said harmonic-series-generating unit; and a second composition unit (fig.8 #832) operable to compound the input-audio-signals of the second channel and the harmonic-tone component generated by said harmonic-series-generating unit.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-7 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aarts et al (US 6,111,960).

With respect to claim 5, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, however does not disclose expressly wherein a bandwidth of each of the different frequency bands is from 15 Hz to 50 Hz. Aarts discloses wherein the bandwidth is 10 Hz. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to increase the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts to a greater range such as 15 Hz to 50 Hz, while still maintaining a relatively small

Art Unit: 2615

bandwidth for the purpose of minimizing intermodulation distortion as taught by Aarts (col.9 ln.21-23). The motivation for increasing the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts would have been to decrease the number of filters needed to cover the same range of low frequencies. This would ultimately lower the production costs of the circuit.

With respect to claim 6, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, however does not disclose expressly wherein a band width of each of the different frequency bands is from 15 Hz to 30 Hz. Aarts discloses wherein the bandwidth is 10 Hz. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to increase the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts to a greater range such as 15 Hz to 30 Hz, while still maintaining a relatively small bandwidth for the purpose of minimizing intermodulation distortion as taught by Aarts (col.9 ln.21-23). The motivation for increasing the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts would have been to decrease the number of filters needed to cover the same range of low frequencies. This would ultimately lower the production costs of the circuit.

With respect to claim 7, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, however does not disclose expressly in the embodiment shown in figure 9 wherein said band-decomposition unit comprises a low-pass filter extracting frequency components in a lowest register. Aarts discloses in the embodiments shown in figures 1,2,4 and 5, wherein a low-pass filter extracting frequency components prior to be processed by a harmonic generating unit. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the low-pass filter disclosed in figures 1,2,4 and 5 in the embodiment shown in figure 9. The motivation for doing so

Art Unit: 2615

would have been to block any high pass signals from reaching the harmonic generating unit, thus eliminating unwanted distortions.

With respect to claim 16, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, however does not disclose expressly wherein a bandwidth of each of the different frequency bands is from 15 Hz to 50 Hz. Aarts discloses wherein the bandwidth is 10 Hz. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to increase the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts to a greater range such as 15 Hz to 50 Hz, while still maintaining a relatively small bandwidth for the purpose of minimizing intermodulation distortion as taught by Aarts (col.9 ln.21-23). The motivation for increasing the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts would have been to decrease the number of filters needed to cover the same range of low frequencies. This would ultimately lower the production costs of the circuit.

With respect to claim 17, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, however does not disclose expressly in the embodiment shown in figure 9 wherein said decomposing of the low frequency component of the input-audio-signals into the plurality of frequency components that have the different frequency bands based on the decomposition characteristic uses a low-pass filter extracting frequency components in a lowest register. Aarts discloses in the embodiments shown in figures 1,2,4 and 5, wherein a low-pass filter extracting frequency components prior to be processed by a harmonic generating unit. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the low-pass filter disclosed in figures 1,2,4 and 5 in the embodiment shown in figure 9. The motivation for doing so

Art Unit: 2615

would have been to block any high pass signals from reaching the harmonic generating unit, thus eliminating unwanted distortions.

With respect to claim 18, Aarts discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, however does not disclose expressly wherein a bandwidth of each of the different frequency bands is from 15 Hz to 50 Hz. Aarts discloses wherein the bandwidth is 10 Hz. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to increase the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts to a greater range such as 15 Hz to 50 Hz, while still maintaining a relatively small bandwidth for the purpose of minimizing intermodulation distortion as taught by Aarts (col.9 ln.21-23). The motivation for increasing the bandwidth of the band pass filters of Aarts would have been to decrease the number of filters needed to cover the same range of low frequencies. This would ultimately lower the production costs of the circuit.

Claims 9 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aarts et al (US 6,111,960) "Aarts-A" in view of Aarts et al (US 6,961,435 B2) "Aarts-B".

With respect to claim 9, Aarts-A discloses the audio-signal-processing apparatus of claim 1, however does not disclose expressly further comprising a delay device operable to compensate for a processing delay between the harmonic-tone component and the input-audio-signals.

Aarts-B discloses a delay device (fig.6 "TD6") operable to compensate for a processing delay between an input-audio-signal and a harmonic tone generator (fig.6 "BD6")(col.4 ln.6-18).

Art Unit: 2615

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the delay device of Aarts-B in the invention of Aarts-A.

The motivation for doing so would have been to compensate for the time delay imposed by the harmonic generating device, thus the input signal and the harmonic signals would be added in synchronization allowing for an appropriate representation of the original signal.

With respect to claim 19, Aarts-A discloses the audio-signal-processing method of claim 12, however does not disclose expressly further comprising compensating for a processing delay between the generated harmonic-tone component and the input-audio-signals.

Aarts-B discloses a delay device (fig.6 "TD6") operable to compensate for a processing delay between an input-audio-signal and a harmonic tone generator (fig.6 "BD6")(col.4 ln.6-18).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the delay device of Aarts-B in the invention of Aarts-A.

The motivation for doing so would have been to compensate for the time delay imposed by the harmonic generating device, thus the input signal and the harmonic signals would be added in synchronization allowing for an appropriate representation of the original signal.

Art Unit: 2615

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Coats et al (US 7,136,493 B2) discloses a sub-harmonic generator and stereo expansion processor.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R. Kurr whose telephone number is (571) 272-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 273-8300. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-0552.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JK
JK


VIVIAN CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600